#### **Proof Examples**

**Conjecture**: Every odd integer is the difference of two squares.

**<u>Proof (Direct)</u>**: Let *n* be an odd integer.  $\exists_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  s.t. n = 2k + 1To gain some insight:  $3 = 2(1) + 1 = 2^2 - 1^2$ ,  $5 = 2(4) + 1 = 3^2 - 2^2$ ,  $7 = 2(3) + 1 = 4^2 - 3^2$ ,  $27 = 2(13) + 1 = 14^2 - 13^2$ Observation 1: odd numbers seem to be the difference of two consecutive squares. <u>Observation 2</u>: For an odd number, n = 2k + 1, it seems to be the sum of the squares  $(k+1)^2 - k^2$ .

#### Conjecture: Every odd integer is the difference of two squares.

**Proof (Direct):** Let *n* be an odd integer.  $\exists_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  s.t. n = 2k + 1To gain some insight:  $3 = 2(1) + 1 = 2^2 - 1^2$ ,  $5 = 2(4) + 1 = 3^2 - 2^2$ , **WARNING: We have not proved this yet!**  $7 = 2(3) + 1 = 4^2 - 3^2$ ,  $27 = 2(13) + 1 = 14^2 - 13^2$ Observation 1: odd numbers seem to be the difference of two

consecutive squares.

<u>Observation 2</u>: For an odd number, n = 2k + 1, it seems to be the sum of the squares  $(k + 1)^2 - k^2$ .

**Conjecture**: Every odd integer is the difference of two squares.

**Proof (Direct):** Let *n* be an odd integer.  $\exists_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  s.t. n = 2k + 1Observe:  $(k + 1)^2 - k^2 = k^2 + 2k + 1 - k^2 = 2k + 1$ So, when we have n = 2k + 1, we will add and subtract  $k^2$ to the right side:  $n = 2k + 1 + k^2 - k^2$ Which can be factored to:  $n = (k + 1)^2 - k^2$ . Therefore, every odd integer is the difference of two squares.

# Example 2(a)

X

<u>**Conjecture**</u>: If x is irrational, then  $\frac{1}{x}$  is irrational.


<u>**Proof (Contrapositive):**</u> Assume  $\stackrel{1}{-}$  is rational.

By definition, 
$$\exists_{p,q\in\mathbb{Z}}$$
 s.t.  $\frac{1}{x} = \frac{p}{q}$ 

Solving for *x*, we get  $x = \frac{q}{p}$ . Since *p* and *q* are both integers, *x* is rational.

Thus we have shown that the contrapositive is true.

Therefore, if x is irrational, then 
$$\frac{1}{x}$$
 is irrational.



Conjecture: Pick a list of 22 days in a year. At least four of those days fall on the same day of the week.

**Proof (Contradiction):** Assume not. Assume that in our list of 22 days that no day of the week occurs more than 3 times.

Let  $d_i$  be the number of times  $i^{th}$  day of the week occurs, where  $1 \le i \le 7$ .

If 
$$d_i \le 3$$
, then  $\sum_{i=1}^7 d_i \le \sum_{i=1}^7 3 \le 7 * 3 = 21$ . This is a

contradiction because we assumed our list had 22 days on it.

Therefore, if we pick a list of 22 days in a year, then at least four of those days fall on the same day of the week.

**Conjecture**: If 
$$x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$
, then  $|x| + |y| \ge |x + y|$ 

**Proof (By Cases):** Assume *x* and *y* are real numbers.

```
We know that if x \ge 0, |x| = x and if
```

```
x < 0, |x| = -x
```

```
<u>Case 1:</u> x \ge 0, y \ge 0.
```

$$|x| + |y| = x + y.$$

$$|x+y| = x+y$$

Thus  $|x| + |y| \ge |x + y|$ 

**Conjecture**: If 
$$x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$
, then  $|x| + |y| \ge |x + y|$ 

**Proof (By Cases):** Assume *x* and *y* are real numbers.

We know that if 
$$x \ge 0$$
,  $|x| = x$  and if  $x < 0$ ,  $|x| = -x$   
Case 2:  $x \ge 0$ ,  $y < 0$ ,  $x + y \ge 0$ .

$$|x| + |y| = x + (-y) = x - y.$$

$$-y \ge y$$
, so  $x + -y \ge x + y$ .

Since  $x + y \ge 0$ , |x + y| = x + y.

Thus  $|x| + |y| = x + -y \ge x + y = |x + y|$ 

**Conjecture**: If 
$$x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$
, then  $|x| + |y| \ge |x + y|$ 

**Proof (By Cases):** Assume *x* and *y* are real numbers.

We know that if 
$$x \ge 0$$
,  $|x| = x$  and if  $x < 0$ ,  $|x| = -x$ 

Case 3: 
$$x \ge 0$$
,  $y < 0$ ,  $x + y < 0$ .  
 $|x| + |y| = x + (-y) = x - y$ .

Since 
$$x + y < 0$$
,  $|x + y| = -(x + y) = -x - y$ .

$$x \ge -x \text{ so } x - y \ge -x - y$$

Thus  $|x| + |y| = x - y \ge -x - y = |x + y|$ 

**Conjecture**: If 
$$x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$
, then  $|x| + |y| \ge |x + y|$ 

**Proof (By Cases):** Assume x and y are real numbers. Case 4 & 5: Same as case 2 & 3 with x and y flipped Case 6: *x* < 0, *y* < 0. |x| + |y| = -x - y.|x + y| = -(x + y) = -x - y.Thus,  $|x| + |y| \ge |x + y|$ Therefore,  $|x| + |y| \ge |x + y|$  in all cases.

<u>Conjecture</u>: The following three statements about  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  are equivalent: (i) x is rational, (ii) x/2 is rational, and (iii) 3x - 1 is rational.

**<u>Proof</u>:** To show that these three are equivalent, it is sufficient to show (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii), (ii) $\rightarrow$ (iii), and (iii) $\rightarrow$ (i).

Why is that sufficient?

**1.** (i)
$$\rightarrow$$
(iii)  $\equiv$  (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii) $\wedge$  (ii) $\rightarrow$ (iii)

**2.** (ii) 
$$\rightarrow$$
 (i)  $\equiv$  (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii)  $\wedge$  (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i)

**3.** (iii) 
$$\rightarrow$$
 (ii)  $\equiv$  (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i)  $\wedge$  (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii)



<u>Conjecture</u>: The following three statements about  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  are equivalent: (i) x is rational, (ii) x/2 is rational, and (iii) 3x - 1 is rational.

**Proof:** (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) (direct): Assume *x* is rational.

By definition, 
$$\exists_{p,q\in\mathbb{Z}}$$
 s.t.  $x = \frac{p}{q}$ 

$$x/2 = \frac{\frac{p}{q}}{2} = \frac{p}{2q}$$
. 2q is an integer, therefore x/2 is

rational.

Therefore, (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii).

(continued)

<u>Conjecture</u>: The following three statements about  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  are equivalent: (i) x is rational, (ii) x/2 is rational, and (iii) 3x - 1 is rational.

**Proof:** (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii) (direct): Assume x/2 is rational.

By definition, 
$$\exists_{p,q\in\mathbb{Z}} \text{ s.t. } x/2 = \frac{p}{q}$$
.  
 $x = \frac{2p}{q}, \ 3x - 1 = 3(\frac{2p}{q}) - 1 = \frac{6p}{q} - 1 = \frac{6p}{q} - \frac{q}{q} = \frac{6p - q}{q}$   
 $6p - q \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ so } 3x - 1 \text{ is rational.}$   
Therefore, (ii) $\rightarrow$ (iii). (continued)



<u>Conjecture</u>: The following three statements about  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  are equivalent: (i) x is rational, (ii) x/2 is rational, and (iii) 3x - 1 is rational.

**Proof:** (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i) (direct): Assume 3x - 1 is rational.

By definition, 
$$\exists_{p,q\in\mathbb{Z}} \text{ s.t. } 3x - 1 = \frac{p}{q}$$
.  
 $3x = \frac{p}{q} + 1 = \frac{p+q}{q}, \quad x = \frac{\frac{p+q}{q}}{3} = \frac{p+q}{3q}$ 

 $p + q \in \mathbb{Z}, 3q \in \mathbb{Z}$  so x is rational.

Therefore, (iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i).

Thus, the statements x is rational, x/2 is rational, and 3x - 1 is rational are equivalent.